

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,717	08/19/2003	Satoshi Yanagisawa	4701	
26021 7.	590 02/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	ARTSON L.L.P.		ANDUJAR, LEONARDO	
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

تعند	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ĺ			
-	Advisory Action	10/643,717	YANAGISAWA, SATOSHI				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Leonardo Andújar	2826				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_			
THE	REPLY FILED 17 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.				
1. 🗌	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)				
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Euton	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).					
have under set fo may r	isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exercise 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 1.11 of the checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) a	s			
2. [The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
	NDMENTS	hart maineas should be as still man a bains	will not be entered because				
э. <u>Г</u>	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendment canceling the	Э			
7. 🗀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a North date of the affidate of the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered rit or other evidence is necessary and	j			
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•					
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:				
_	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).				
			Leovardo Amayiar Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2826				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on 01/17/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's claims 1, 2, 4-7, 12, 15, 18, 19, 21-23, 28 and do not distinguish over the prior art made of record as the reasons set forth in the final rejection sent on 11/14/2005.